

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/782,574 02/18/2004		02/18/2004	Ho Lu	JCLA12196	4739		
23900	7590	10/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
J C PATE	•		KOVAL, MELISSA J				
4 VENTURE, SUITE 250 IRVINE, CA 92618				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2851			
				DATE MAILED: 10/28/200	DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
10/782,574	LU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Melissa J. Koval	2851	

	Defense Alex Filinas of our Associat Duick			
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Melissa J. Koval	2851	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	lress
THE R	EPLY FILED 19 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
t ; ;	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or or his application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notan Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance me periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) [b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
nave bounder 3 set fortional re- may re- NOTIC	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b): EOF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
f	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
	DMENTS	had a day to the date of filling a brief	will mak be embered b	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
	b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	•	TE below),	
	c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
_	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
t	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is prothe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.	·		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
t	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, busecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
•	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on thowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
11. 🛚	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Mim	12n 2v2
			i / Mylli I	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner maintains that the reference to Ramanujan 2002/0118375 A1 still anticipates the claims.